

- 9 -

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTERREMARKS

JUL 14 2006

The following amendments and remarks are submitted to be fully responsive to the final Office Action of **March 16, 2006**. In the present amendment, claims 1-20 are canceled and claims 21-47 have been added. No new matter has been introduced. (See canceled claims 1-20 and Applicants' published patent application paragraphs 0022, 0026, 0027, 0029, 0032, and 0037). Thus, claims 21-47 are now pending. Reconsideration and allowance of this application are respectfully requested.

Initially, Applicants note that the specification has been amended to correct a typographical informalities in the numbering of the browser isolator module. (See paragraphs 0037, 0038, and 0043). Correspondingly, browser isolator module has been corrected to be item 130 in paragraphs 0037, 0038, 0043 to be in uniformity with the drawings and other portions of the specification. Further, Applicants note that the specification has been amendment to correct a deficiency in the numbering of the protected computer. (See paragraph 0046). Correspondingly, protected computer has been corrected to be item 210 to be in uniformity with the drawings and other portions of the specification.

Referring to the Office Action, claims 1-20 were rejected under U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Newman (U.S. Patent 6,948,003). Applicants respectfully submit that independent claims 21, 30 and 39, and claims dependent therefrom are patentable over Newman, because Newman fails to disclose, teach or suggest all of the features recited in the claims. For example, independent claims 21, 30 and 39 include the novel features of providing communications access to an unprotected network from a protected network via a browser module, wherein the browser module is separate and physically distinct from protected computers, communicating with the browser module through a browser client module, wherein the browser client module provides control of video and audio output of a browser operating remotely on the browser module, analyzing communications between the browser module and the browser client module via a browser isolator module, and preventing unauthorized communications between the browser module and the browser client module via the browser isolator module.

By contrast, Newman discloses a host computer that includes a multiplexing/demultiplexing mechanism that locates an incoming IP stack with a particular customer and routes that stack to the particular customer. (Col. 9, lines 37-48). However, Newman fails to disclose a browser isolator module that analyzes communication between a browser module and browser client module, as recited in independent claims 21, 30 and 39.

- 10 -

In addition, Newman fails to disclose preventing unauthorized communications between the browser module and the browser client module via the browser isolator module. As stated above, Newman discloses a host computer that includes a multiplexing/demultiplexing mechanism that locates an incoming IP stack with a particular customer and routes that stack to the particular customer. (Col. 9, lines 37-48). However, Newman fails to disclose the noted features recited in independent claims 21, 30 and 39.

The dependent claims are allowable on their on merits and for at least the reasons as argued above with respect to independent claims 21, 30 and 39.

The references that have been cited, but not applied by the Examiner, have been taken into consideration during formulation of this response. However, since such references were not considered by the Examiner to be of sufficient relevance to apply against any of the claims, no detailed comments thereon are believed to be warranted at this time.

In view of the foregoing, it is submitted that the present application is in condition for allowance and a notice to that effect is respectfully requested. However, if the Examiner deems that any issue remains after considering this response, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned attorney to expedite the prosecution and engage in a joint effort to work out a mutually satisfactory solution.

Respectfully submitted,

NIXON PEABODY, LLP

/Carlos R. Villamar, Reg. # 43,224/
Carlos R. Villamar
Reg. No. 43,224

NIXON PEABODY LLP
CUSTOMER NO.: 22204
401 9th Street, N.W., Suite 900
Washington, DC 20004
Tel: 202-585-8000
Fax: 202-585-8080